

**ERRATA:****MEASURING ECONOMIC GROWTH AND ITS RELATION WITH PRODUCTION  
POSSIBILITY FRONTIER AND RETURNS TO SCALE**

**Merter Mert**, (Ph.D.), Department of Economics, Gazi University

Address: Incitas Sokak, Gazi Univ. IIBF, Besevler, 06500 Ankara, Turkey.

Email: [mertermert@gazi.edu.tr](mailto:mertermert@gazi.edu.tr), Telephone: +90(312)2161138

The paper published in the *International Journal of Economics and Research* 7(6) titled by *Measuring Economic Growth and Its Relation with Production Possibility Frontier and Returns to Scale* contains two propositions at page 84. These propositions are valid when there are constant returns to scale **for the growing sector**. Besides, it should be “if elasticity of output with respect to **capital** is same between sectors”. The new propositions which contain the phrase of “for the growing sector” are the followings:

**Proposition 1:** For an economy with two commodities; if there are constant returns to scale conditions **for the growing sector**, if the identifying assumption is Hicks-neutral, and if elasticity of output with respect to **capital** is same between sectors, then, for a positive economic growth in one sector which is based on an increase in the labor of that sector, it should be:

i) if  $\beta_x > \beta_y$  then  $\alpha > \beta_y$  ,

ii) if  $\beta_x < \beta_y$  then  $\alpha < \beta_y$  .

**Proposition 2:** For an economy with two commodities; if there are constant returns to scale conditions **for the growing sector**, if the identifying assumption is Hicks-neutral, and if elasticity of output with respect to **capital** is same between sectors, then, for a positive economic growth in one sector which is based on an increase in the labor of that sector, it should be:

i) if  $\beta_x > \beta_y$  then  $\alpha > \beta_y$  and  $\alpha + \beta_y \neq 1$  ,

ii) if  $\beta_x < \beta_y$  then  $\alpha < \beta_y$  and  $\alpha + \beta_y \neq 1$  .